

ON THE FIFTH ORDER KDV EQUATION: LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS AND LACK OF UNIFORM CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION MAP

SOONSIK KWON

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that the following fifth order equation arising from the KdV hierarchy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^5 u + c_1 \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u + c_2 u \partial_x^3 u = 0 & u : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \end{cases}$$

is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Also, we prove the solution map of the equation is not uniformly continuous.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Korteweg de Vries(KdV) equation has a fascinating property called *complete integrability* in the sense that there is a Lax pair formulation of equations (or a bi-Hamiltonian structure). As is well known, this generates a hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations of order $2j + 1$ and the corresponding Hamiltonians. Due to bi-Hamiltonian structure the flow of each equation conserves every Hamiltonian in the hierarchy. In particular, the KdV equation has infinitely many conservation laws and so does (1.1). The followings are first a few equations and their Hamiltonians with respect to one of two Hamiltonian structures.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \partial_x u &= 0, & \int \frac{1}{2} u^2 \\ \partial_t u - \partial_x^3 u - 6u \partial_x u &= 0, & \int -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_x u)^2 + u^3 \\ \partial_t u - \partial_x^5 u - 30u^2 \partial_x u + 20\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u + 10u \partial_x^3 u &= 0, & \int \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u^2 - 5u \partial_x u^2 + \frac{5}{2} u^4 \\ &\vdots & \vdots \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

In this paper, we consider the initial value problem of the fifth order equation (1.1) in the hierarchy. Though the theory of complete integrability yields the global existence for Schwartz initial data and the soliton resolution phenomena, the well-posedness problem for low regularity initial data (or in the non-integrable case) is a very different problem, requiring the theory of dispersive PDE. The following equation generalizes (1.1) to non-integrable case.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^5 u + c_1 \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u + c_2 u \partial_x^3 u = 0 \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J53.

Key words and phrases. local well-posedness; ill-posedness; KdV hierarchy .

where $u : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and c_1, c_2 are real constants.

We note that (1.2) also models several higher order water wave physics (see, for instance, [1], [2], [18]).

We consider the local well-posedness problem and a bad behavior of the flow map with the initial data in Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Our first result is the local well-posedness for $s > \frac{5}{2}$, as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$. For any $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a time $T \gtrsim \|u_0\|_{H^s}^{-\frac{10}{3}}$ and a unique solution u for the fifth order KdV equation (1.2) satisfying*

$$u \in C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})) \quad \partial_x^3 u \in L^1([0, T], L^\infty(\mathbb{R})).$$

Moreover, for any $R > 0$, the solution map $u_0 \mapsto u(t)$ is continuous from the ball $\{u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) : \|u_0\|_{H^s} < R\}$ to $C([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$.

Unlike the KdV equation, the local well-posedness problem cannot be solved by the contraction principle if we assume the initial data is in H^s . (In [10],[11] Kenig, Ponce and Vega proved the local well-posedness for a general dispersive equation with well-decaying initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(|x|^m dx)$ for some large $s, m > 0$ using the contraction principle.) The following linear local smoothing is due to Kenig, Ponce and Vega [8].

$$\|\partial_x^j e^{-t\partial_x^{2j+1}} u_0(x)\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}.$$

One can observe the smoothing effect recovering *two* derivatives ($j = 2$) is not enough for the nonlinear term $u\partial_x^3 u$. The fact that the nonlinear term has more derivatives than can be recovered by the smoothing effect causes a strong interaction between low and high frequencies data. This type of phenomenon is observed earlier in other dispersive equations, such as the Benjamin-Ono(BO) equation and the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-I(KP-I) equation. In [16], [17] Molinet, Saut and Tzvetkov showed that the solution maps of these two equations are not C^2 using examples localized in low and very high frequency. This already implies that Picard iteration is not available, since if it were the solution map would be real-analytic. Furthermore, in [13], [14] Koch and Tzvetkov proved that the solution maps of these two equations are not uniformly continuous using the same low and high frequency nonlinear interaction. Our second result is an analog of theirs to the equation (1.2).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Then, there exist constants c, C and two sequences (u_n) and (v_n) of solution of the fifth order equation (1.2) such that for every $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\sup_n \|u_n(t, \cdot)\|_{H_x^s} + \sup_n \|v_n(t, \cdot)\|_{H_x^s} \leq C,$$

(u_n) and (v_n) satisfy initially

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(0, \cdot) - v_n(0, \cdot)\|_{H_x^s} = 0,$$

But, for every $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(t, \cdot) - v_n(t, \cdot)\|_{H_x^s} \geq c|t|.$$

Moreover, if the equation (1.2) satisfies that for all t ,

- *the L^2 conservation law in the sense that $\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2} = \|u(0)\|_{L_x^2}$*

- an H^3 conservation law in the sense that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H_x^3} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{H_x^3} \quad \text{for small } \|u(0)\|_{H_x^3},$$

then the same conclusion holds true for $s > 0$.

Note that the fifth order KdV equation (1.1) satisfies these conditions.

In observance of the negative behavior of the solution map, one expects to prove the local well-posedness by the compactness method. Previously, in [19] Ponce proved the local well-posedness for Sobolev initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 4$. Our result is an improvement of Ponce's. Our proof of the local well-posedness is based on the energy method combining with available global and local smoothing estimates. So, our basic strategy is the same as Ponce's.

One difficulty of (1.2), which doesn't appear in the BO or the KP-I equation, is multi-derivatives in nonlinear terms, especially $u\partial_x^3 u$. The standard energy estimate gives only the following:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_x^k u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^k u(t)\|_{L_x^2} + \left| \int \partial_x u \partial_x^{k+1} u \partial_x^{k+1} u \right|$$

because multi-derivatives may split when we take integrations by parts.

The last term is not favorable since it is a higher Sobolev norm than supposed to be. In Section 3 we modify the energy by adding a correctional term to cancel out the above last term. This idea is used several times in our analysis, actually whenever we take inner product to find the control of the time increment of a norm of a solution. In addition to this, we use the time chopping idea with respect to frequencies to improve the linear Strichartz estimate with a global smoothing effect. This idea was introduced by Koch and Tzvetkov [12] and improved by Kenig and Koenig [7] in the context of the Benjamin-Ono equation. We also use Kato's local smoothing estimate complemented by the maximal function estimate.

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we follow closely [13] for construction of the approximate solution. Unlike Benjamin-Ono equation, (1.2) may not have higher order conservation laws. Thus, we use well-posedness result for $s > \frac{5}{2}$, instead of conservation laws, for proving that the approximate solution is a good approximate solution in the H^s sense. But in the region that well-posedness is unavailable ($0 < s \leq \frac{5}{2}$) we have to assume that there is at least one higher conservation law and an L^2 conservation law, which (1.1) satisfies.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we summarize notations and standard lemmas for fractional derivatives. In Section 3 we introduce the modified energy method to prove the energy estimate. In Section 4 we recall the linear estimates and show a refined Strichartz estimate. We also show Kato's local smoothing estimate for nonlinear solutions. In Section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. After getting an *a priori* bound, we use the ϵ -approximate method introduced by Bona and Smith [3] for proving continuous dependence. Finally, in Section 6 we show by counter examples the lack of uniform continuity of the solution map.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to Terence Tao for many helpful conversations and encouragement. He is also indebted to him for suggesting this research problem.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We use $X \lesssim Y$ when $X \leq CY$ for some C . We use $X \sim Y$ when $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$. Moreover, we use $X \lesssim_s Y$ if the implicit constant depends on s , $C = C(s)$. For a Schwartz function $u_0(x)$, we denote the linear solution $u(t, x)$ to the equation $\partial_t u + \partial_x^5 u = 0$ by

$$u(t, x) = e^{-t\partial_x^5} u_0(x) = c \int \int e^{-t|\xi|^5} e^{i(x-y)\xi} u_0(y) dy d\xi.$$

Using this notation we have the Duhamel formula for the solution to the inhomogeneous linear equation $\partial_t u + \partial_x^5 u + F = 0$

$$u(t, x) = e^{-t\partial_x^5} u_0(x) - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x^5} F(t', x) dt'.$$

We use the space-time norm $L_T^p L_x^q$.

$$\|u\|_{L_T^p L_x^q} = \left(\int_0^T \left(\int |u(t, x)|^q dx \right)^{p/q} dt \right)^{1/p}$$

with usual modification for p or $q = \infty$.

We use the standard mollifier ρ_ϵ . More precisely, let ρ be a function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\rho \geq 0, \quad \text{supp} \rho \in [-1, 1], \quad \int \rho dx = 1.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we denote $\rho_\epsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. For $f \in L_{loc}^1$, denote $f^\epsilon := \rho_\epsilon * f$. We use the following well-known lemma for mollified functions:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $f^\epsilon = \rho_\epsilon * f$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\|f^\epsilon\|_{W^{s+t,p}} \lesssim_{s,t,p} \epsilon^{-t} \|f\|_{W^{s,p}} \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|f - f^\epsilon\|_{W^{s-t,p}} \lesssim_{s,t,p} \epsilon^t \|f\|_{W^{s,p}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s. \quad (2.2)$$

We denote D^s is a homogeneous fractional derivative whose symbol is $|\xi|^s$, while J^s is an inhomogeneous derivative whose symbol is $(1 + \xi^2)^{\frac{s}{2}}$. Note $\|J^s u\|_{L^2} = \|u\|_{H^s}$. We use the following standard fractional Leibnitz rule and the Kato-Ponce commutator estimate.

Lemma 2.2. (a) *Let $0 \leq s, s_1, s_2 < 1$ and $1 < p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{p}$, and $s_1 + s_2 = s$. Then*

$$\|D^s(fg) - (D^s f)g - f(D^s g)\|_{L^p} \lesssim_{p,p_1,p_2,s,s_1,s_2} \|D^{s_1} f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|D^{s_2} g\|_{L^{p_2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, if $s_2 = 0$, $p_2 = \infty$ is allowed.

(b) *In particular, for $s \geq 1$*

$$\|[D^s; f]g\|_{L^p} \lesssim_{s,p} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|D^{s-1} g\|_{L^{p_2}} + \|D^s f \cdot g\|_{L^p} \quad (2.4)$$

where $p_1 = \infty$ is allowed.

For the proof of this lemma, we refer, for instance, [6], [9].

In addition, in our analysis for the modified energy estimate we need a generalized form of the commutator estimate.

Lemma 2.3 (Generalized commutator estimate). *Let $s > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D^s(u\partial_x^3 v) - uD^s(\partial_x^3 v) - s\partial_x u D^s(\partial_x^2 v) - \frac{s(s-1)}{2}\partial_x^2 u D^s(\partial_x v)\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim_s \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s u\|_{L^2}, \\ & \|D^s(\partial_x u \partial_x^2 v) - \partial_x u D^s(\partial_x^2 v) - s\partial_x^2 u D^s(\partial_x v)\|_{L^2} \lesssim_s \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s u\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

hold true.

Proof. This proof is similar to that of the Kato-Ponce commutator estimate, an application of the Coifman-Meyer theorem (see [6],[4]). Here we prove only the first one. The second one is proved in the same way. Let $\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ be the symbol of the pseudo-differential operator. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) &= i^3 \left\{ |\xi_1 + \xi_2|^s \xi_2^3 - |\xi_2|^s \xi_2^3 - s\xi_1 |\xi_2|^s \xi_2^2 - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \xi_1^2 |\xi_2|^s \xi_2 \right\} \\ D^s(u\partial_x^3 v) - uD^s(\partial_x^3 v) - s\partial_x u D^s(\partial_x^2 v) - \frac{s(s-1)}{2}\partial_x^2 u D^s(\partial_x v)(x) \\ &= c \int \int e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) \widehat{u}(\xi_1) \widehat{v}(\xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \end{aligned}$$

As usual, we decompose σ into low-high, high-low, high-high paraproduct

$$\sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \sigma_{lh}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + \sigma_{hh}(\xi_1, \xi_2) + \sigma_{hl}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k(\xi_1, \xi_2) &= \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) \cdot \pi_k \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \right) \quad \text{supp } \pi_{lh} \subset [-1/3, 1/3] \\ & \quad \text{supp } \pi_{hh} \subset [1/4, 4] \cup [-4, -1/4] \\ & \quad \text{supp } \pi_{hl} \subset (-\infty, -3] \cup [3, \infty) \\ \pi_k &\geq 0 \quad \pi_{lh} + \pi_{hh} + \pi_{hl} = 1 \quad k = lh, hh, hl \end{aligned}$$

We are going to show the inequality for each case. To prove σ_{lh} and σ_{hl} we need to use the following lemma due to Coifman and Meyer.

Lemma 2.4 (Coifman-Meyer [4]). *Let $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m - \{(0, 0)\})$ satisfy*

$$|\partial_{\xi_1}^\alpha \partial_{\xi_2}^\beta \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \lesssim_{\alpha, \beta} \left(|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \right)^{-|\alpha| - |\beta|} \quad (2.5)$$

for $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \neq (0, 0)$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^m$. If $\sigma(D)$ denotes the bilinear operator

$$\sigma(D)(u, v)(x) = \int \int e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} \sigma(\xi_1, \xi_2) \widehat{u}(\xi_1) \widehat{v}(\xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2$$

then

$$\|\sigma(D)(u, v)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}$$

At first, we consider the low-high paraproduct. In this case we need to use cancellation of terms in the symbol σ_{lh} . Since ξ_1, ξ_2 are restricted to the region $|\xi_1| < \frac{1}{3}|\xi_2|$, one can rewrite the first term of σ_{lh} as

$$\begin{aligned}
|\xi_1 + \xi_2|^s \xi_2^3 \frac{1}{\xi_1^3 |\xi_2|^s} &= \xi_2^3 |\xi_2|^s \left(1 + \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^s \frac{1}{\xi_1^3 |\xi_2|^s} \\
&= \frac{\xi_2^3}{\xi_1^3} \left(1 + \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} + s \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^2 + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^3 + \dots\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \binom{s}{k} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^k + \dots\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{lh}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \frac{1}{(i\xi_1)^3 |\xi_2|^s} &= \frac{i^3 \xi_2^3}{i^3 \xi_1^3} \left\{ \binom{s}{3} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^3 + \binom{s}{4} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^4 + \dots \right\} \\
&= \binom{s}{3} + \binom{s}{4} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right) + \binom{s}{5} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)^2 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\xi_1| < 1/3|\xi_2|$, this infinite series converges absolutely and so are their partial derivatives. Furthermore, one can easily check that $\sigma_{lh}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \frac{1}{(i\xi_1)^3 |\xi_2|^s}$ satisfies the condition (2.5). Thus, by the Coifman-Meyer lemma

$$\left\| \int \int e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} \sigma_{lh}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \frac{1}{(i\xi_1)^3 |\xi_2|^s} \widehat{\partial_x^3 u}(\xi_1) \widehat{D^s v}(\xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s v\|_{L^2}.$$

Next, we turn to the high-low paraproduct σ_{hl} (i.e. $|\xi_1| > 3|\xi_2|$). In this case we estimate each of four terms in σ_{hl} separately. The first term in σ_{hl} (multiplying by $\frac{1}{(i\xi_2)^3 |\xi_1|^s}$) can be written as

$$\frac{i^3 \xi_2^3 |\xi_1 + \xi_2|^s}{i^3 \xi_2^3 |\xi_1|^s} \pi_{hl} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \right) = \frac{\xi_2^3 |\xi_1|^s}{\xi_2^3 |\xi_1|^s} \left(1 + \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1}\right)^s \pi_{hl} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \right) = \left(1 + \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1}\right)^s \pi_{hl} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \right)$$

which is smooth and satisfies the condition (2.5). Thus, by the Coifman-Meyer lemma

$$\left\| \int \int e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} \frac{i^3 \xi_2^3 |\xi_1 + \xi_2|^s}{i^3 \xi_2^3 |\xi_1|^s} \pi_{hl} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \right) \widehat{D^s u}(\xi_1) \widehat{\partial_x^3 v}(\xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s u\|_{L^2}.$$

For other symbol terms $i^3 \xi_1^k |\xi_2|^s \xi_2^{3-k}$, $k = 0, 1, 2$, we divide by $(i\xi_1)^3 |\xi_2|^s$ to obtain

$$\frac{i^3 \xi_1^k |\xi_2|^s \xi_2^{3-k}}{(i\xi_1^3)^3 |\xi_2|^s} \pi_{hl}(\xi_1/\xi_2) = \left(\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1}\right)^{3-k} \pi_{hl}(\xi_1/\xi_2)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2$. Since these symbols satisfy (2.5), we get

$$\left\| \int \int e^{ix(\xi_1 + \xi_2)} \frac{i^3 \xi_1^k |\xi_2|^s \xi_2^{3-k}}{i^3 \xi_1^3 |\xi_2|^s} \pi_{hl} \left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \right) \widehat{\partial_x^3 u}(\xi_1) \widehat{D^s v}(\xi_2) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s v\|_{L^2}.$$

In the high-high paraproduct case, $|\xi_1 + \xi_2|^s \xi_2^3$ has singularities on $\xi_1 = -\xi_2$ line. So, it's not a smooth Coifman-Meyer multiplier. But this case can be proved using Littlewood-Paley

operators. Let P_N be a Littlewood-Paley projection into frequency $\xi \sim N$ where N is a dyadic number. It suffices to estimate

$$\|D^s(u\partial_x^3 v)\|_{L^2} \sim \left\| \sum_N \sum_{N/4 \leq M \leq 4N} D^s(P_N u P_M \partial_x^3 v) \right\|_{L^2}.$$

Set $\tilde{P}_N := \sum_{N/4 \leq M \leq 4M} P_M$. Using the Littlewood-Paley inequality and $\sum_N \tilde{P}_N = 5$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^s(u\partial_x^3 v)\pi_{hh}\|_{L^2} &\sim \left\| \sum_N D^s(P_N u P_N \partial_x^3 v) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_j \left\| \sum_N \tilde{P}_{2^{-j}N} [D^s(\tilde{P}_N u \tilde{P}_N \partial_x^3 v)] \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_j \left(\sum_N \|\tilde{P}_{2^{-j}N} [D^s(\tilde{P}_N u \tilde{P}_N \partial_x^3 v)]\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim_s \sum_j \left(\sum_N \|(2^{-j}N)^s \tilde{P}_N u \tilde{P}_N \partial_x^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_j 2^{-js} \left(\sum_N N^s \|\tilde{P}_N u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim_s \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The other terms in the high-high paraproduct, $\left(\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1}\right)^{3-k} \pi_{hh}(\xi_1/\xi_2)$, are Coifman-Meyer multipliers and can be estimated as high-low case. $\square$

3. MODIFIED ENERGY

In this section, we prove an energy estimate for local solutions. We want to control the time increment of $\|D^s u(t)\|_{L_x^2}$ using itself and other norms of the same size. But due to multi-derivatives in the nonlinear terms (especially, $u\partial_x^3 u$) the standard energy method (combining with the commutator estimate in the fractional derivative case) gives only

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|D^s u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D^s u(t)\|_{L_x^2} + \left| \int \partial_x u D^s \partial_x u D^s \partial_x u \right|.$$

The last term of which is not favorable. We use some modification of the energy in order to cancel out the last term.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $s \geq 1$ and $u(t, x)$ be a Schwartz solution to the equation (1.2). Define the modified energy*

$$E_s(t) := \|D^s u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + a_s \int u(t) D^{s-2} \partial_x u(t) D^{s-2} \partial_x u(t).$$

Then, there exist constants a_s , C and C' so that if $\|u(t)\|_{H_x^s} \leq \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2a_s C})$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq E_s \leq \frac{3}{2}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_s(t) \lesssim_s \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^\infty} E_s(t) \quad (3.2)$$

and so if $\|u\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} \leq \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2a_s})$, then

$$\sup_{[0,t]} \|J^s u\|_{L_x^2} \leq 3e^{C' \int_0^t \|\partial_x^3 u(t')\|_{L_x^\infty} dt'} \|J^s u(0)\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Using Holder inequality and Sobolev embedding the third term of E_s is bounded by $C \cdot a_s \|u\|_{H^s}^3$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_x^s}^2 \leq E_s(t) \leq \frac{3}{2}\|u(t)\|_{H_x^s}^2.$$

To prove (3.2) one we take $D^s \partial_x^2$ derivative on the equation (1.2) and integrate against $D^s \partial_x^2 u$.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|D^s u\|^2 + c_1 \int D^s (u \partial_x^3 u) D^s u + c_2 \int D^s (\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u) D^s u = 0.$$

To use the commutator estimate we add and subtract terms.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|D^s u\|^2 \leq \\ & c_1 \int \left[D^s (u \partial_x^3 u) - u D^{s-2} \partial_x^5 u - s \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^4 u - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u \right] D^s u \\ & + c_2 \int \left[D^s (\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u) - \partial_x u D^{s-2} (\partial_x^4 u) - s \partial_x^2 u D^s (\partial_x^3 u) \right] D^s u \\ & + \int \left[c_3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^5 u + c_4 \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^4 u + c_5 \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u \right] D^s u \\ & =: \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3

$$\text{I} + \text{II} \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^s u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

After some integrations by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{III} &= \int \left[c_3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^5 u + c_4 \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^4 u + c_5 \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u \right] D^s u \\ &= d_1 \int \partial_x^3 u D^s u D^{s-2} \partial_x^2 u + d_2 \int \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \\
&= \int u_t D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u + \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x u_t D^{s-2} \partial_x u \\
&= - \int \partial_x^5 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u - 2 \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x^6 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \\
&\quad - c_1 \int u \partial_x^3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u + 2u D^{s-2} [\partial_x u \partial_x^3 u] D^{s-2} \partial_x u + 2u D^{s-2} [u \partial_x^4 u] D^{s-2} \partial_x u \\
&\quad - c_2 \int \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u + 2u D^{s-2} [\partial_x^2 u \partial_x^2 u] D^{s-2} \partial_x u + 2u D^{s-2} [\partial_x u \partial_x^3 u] D^{s-2} \partial_x u \\
&=: A + B
\end{aligned}$$

where A is a linear combination of terms of degree of 3 (there are three u 's) and B is a linear combination of terms of degree 4. We use integrations by parts to change A to a linear combination of the following three terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= - \int \partial_x^5 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u - 2 \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x^6 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \\
&= \alpha_1 \int \partial_x^5 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u + \alpha_2 \int \partial_x^3 u D^s u D^{s-2} \partial_x^2 u + \alpha_3 \int \partial_x^1 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u.
\end{aligned}$$

But by direct computation one can easily check $\alpha_1 = 0$. One can choose a_s so that $a_s \cdot \alpha_3 + d_2 = 0$. Then,

$$III + A = (d_1 + a_s \alpha_2) \int \partial_x^3 u D^s u D^{s-2} \partial_x^2 u \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D^s u\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Now we estimate B . First of all, one can easily observe (using Sobolev embedding and $\|u(t)\|_{H_x^s} \leq \frac{1}{2}$)

$$\left| \int u \partial_x^3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \right| + \left| \int \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \right| \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}^2.$$

To estimate other terms we use the commutator estimate (2.4).

$$\begin{aligned}
\int u D^{s-2} [\partial_x u \partial_x^3 u] D^{s-2} \partial_x u &= \int \left[D^{s-2} (\partial_x u \partial_x^3 u) - \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u \right] D^{s-2} \partial_x u \\
&\quad + u \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u.
\end{aligned}$$

From the commutator estimate and integrations by parts these terms are bounded by

$$O \left(\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right).$$

Other terms' bounds follow in the same way. Getting everything together and using Gronwall's inequality we have shown

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E_s(t) &\lesssim_s \|\partial_x^3 u(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} E_s(t), \\ E_s(t) &\leq e^{\int_0^t \|\partial_x^3 u(t')\|_{L_x^\infty} dt'} E_s(0). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), (3.3) follows. $\square$

4. LINEAR ESTIMATE AND LOCAL SMOOTHING

In this section we provide the linear estimates and a local smoothing for nonlinear solutions.

Lemma 4.1 (Strichartz estimate, [9]). *Let $u_0 \in L_x^2$.*

$$\|D^\alpha e^{-t\partial_x^5} u_0\|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} \quad (4.1)$$

for $-\alpha + \frac{5}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3/q$ and $2 \leq q, r \leq \infty$.

The following proposition is a refined version of the Strichartz estimate, introduced by Koch and Tzvetkov [12] and improved by Kenig and Koenig [7] in the context of the Benjamin-Ono equation.

Proposition 4.2 (Refined Strichartz estimate, [7]). *Let $T \leq 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha$. Let u be a Schwartz solution to the linear fifth order equation $\partial_t u + \partial_x^5 u + F = 0$. For any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim_\alpha \|D^{3-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\alpha}{4}+\epsilon} u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|D^{3-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{3\alpha}{4}+\epsilon} F\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|F\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.3. *In our analysis, the optimal choice is $\alpha = 1$. With this (4.2) gives*

$$\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|J^{s-1} F\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \quad (4.3)$$

for $s > \frac{5}{2}$, which determines the regularity threshold of our analysis.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of the Benjamin-Ono equation given in [7]. Unlike the BO equation, from the global smoothing effect of the Strichartz estimate we gain $\frac{3}{4}$ derivatives. We provide the proof for the reader's convenience.

Let P_N be a Littlewood-Paley operator where N is a dyadic number and denote $P_N u = u_N$. By Sobolev embedding and the Littlewood-Paley inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} &\lesssim_{\epsilon', r} \|J^{\epsilon'} \partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^r} \\ &\sim \left\| \left(\sum_N |J^{\epsilon'} \partial_x^3 u_N|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_T^2 L_x^r} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_N \|J^{\epsilon'} \partial_x^3 u_N\|_{L_T^2 L_x^r}^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ' and $r(> 1/\epsilon')$ to be chosen later.

It suffices to show for $r > 2$

$$\|\partial_x^3 u_N\|_{L_T^2 L_x^r} \lesssim \|D^{3-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\alpha}{4}-(\alpha-3)\frac{1}{2r}} u_N\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|D^{3-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{3\alpha}{4}-(\alpha-3)\frac{1}{2r}} F_N\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \quad (4.4)$$

for $N = 2^k, k \geq 1$. (The case $k = 0$ is handled by $\|u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|F\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2}$ by the Strichartz estimate and Bernstein's inequality).

Now, we chop out the time interval into subintervals of unit length. Let $[0, T] = \cup_j I_j, I_j = [a_j, b_j]$ with $|I_j| \sim N^{-\alpha}$. Then the number of j 's is $O(TN^\alpha)$. Let q be so that $-\frac{3}{q} + \frac{5}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$. Using Lemma 4.1 and $\partial_t u_N + \partial_x^5 u_N + F_N = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^3 u_N\|_{L_T^2 L_x^r} \\
&= \left(\sum_j \|\partial_x^3 u_N\|_{L_{I_j}^2 L_x^r}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq N^{-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \left(\sum_j \|\partial_x^3 u_N\|_{L_{I_j}^2 L_x^r}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (\because |I_j| \sim N^{-\alpha}) \\
&\lesssim N^{-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \sum_j \left(\|e^{-(t-a_j)\partial_x^5} \partial_x^3 u_N(a_j)\|_{L_{I_j}^q L_x^r}^2 + \left\| \int_{a_j}^t e^{-(t-t')\partial_x^5} \partial_x^3 F_N(t') dt' \right\|_{L_{I_j}^q L_x^r}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim N^{-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \left\{ \left(\sum_j \|D^{-\frac{3}{q}} \partial_x^3 u_N\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_j \left(\int_{I_j} \|D^{-\frac{3}{q}} \partial_x^3 F_N\|_{L_x^2}^2 dt \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\lesssim N^{-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} \left\{ \left(\sum_j \|D^{-\frac{3}{q}} \partial_x^3 u_N\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_j N^{-\alpha} \int_{I_j} \|D^{-\frac{3}{q}} \partial_x^3 F_N\|_{L_x^2}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\lesssim N^{-\alpha(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} N^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|D^{3-\frac{3}{q}} u_N\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + N^{-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{q}} \left(\int_0^T \|D^{3-\frac{3}{q}} F_N\|_{L_x^2}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|D^{3-\frac{3}{q}+\frac{\alpha}{q}} u_N\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|D^{3-\frac{3}{q}-\alpha+\frac{\alpha}{q}} u_N\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \|D^{3-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\alpha}{4}-(\alpha-3)\frac{1}{2r}} u_N\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|D^{3-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{3\alpha}{4}-(\alpha-3)\frac{1}{2r}} F_N\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

At the last step we used $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2r}$. Once we get (4.4), for given $\epsilon > 0$, (4.2) follows by choosing ϵ' and r so that $\epsilon' - \frac{\alpha-3}{2r} < \epsilon$. □

Next, we state the maximal estimate for linear solutions proved by Kenig, Ponce and Vega [8].

Lemma 4.4 (Maximal estimate). *Assume $u_0 \in H^{5/4+\eta}$ for some $\eta > 0$. Then*

$$\|e^{-t\partial_x^5} u_0\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \lesssim \left(\sum_j \|e^{-t\partial_x^5} u_0\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1))}^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim_{T,\eta} \|u_0\|_{H^{5/4+\eta}}.$$

Next, for solutions to the nonlinear equation (1.2) we prove the local smoothing estimate. This is an analog of Kato's local smoothing for the KdV equation. This approach to (1.2) was done by Ponce [19], here we improve Ponce's result by adding the modified energy idea used in the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 4.5 (Local smoothing estimate). *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Let I be an interval of unit length. If u is a Schwartz solution to the fifth order KdV (1.2), then*

$$\left(\int_0^T \int_I |D^{s-2} \partial_x^4 u|^2 dx dt \right) \lesssim_{|I|,s} (1 + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} + \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2) \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be an increasing function whose derivative $\phi' \in C_0^\infty$, $\phi' \geq 0$ and $\phi' \equiv 1$ on I . Our local smoothing estimate recover two derivatives. We take two steps. First we prove a local smoothing estimate recovering one derivative. The claim is the following:

$$\int_0^T \int |D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u|^2 \phi' dx dt \lesssim_\phi (1 + \|J^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty}^2 + \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2) \sup_{[0,T]} \|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}^2. \quad (4.6)$$

From (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi &= \int D^{s-2} \partial_x \partial_t u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi \\ &= \int D^{s-2} \partial_x^6 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi + c_1 \int D^{s-2} \partial_x (u \partial_x^3 u) D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi + c_2 \int D^{s-2} \partial_x (\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u) D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi \\ &=: A + B + C. \end{aligned}$$

A few integrations by parts show

$$A = c \int (D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u)^2 \phi' + c \int (D^s u)^2 \phi^{(3)} + c \int (D^{s-2} \partial_x u)^2 \phi^{(5)}$$

where the last two terms are $O(\|J^s\|_{L_x^2}^2 \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty})$. To estimate B and C we use Lemma 2.3 and integrations by parts.

$$\begin{aligned} B &= c \int D^{s-2} (u \partial_x^3 u) D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi + c \int D^{s-2} (u \partial_x^3 u) D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi' \\ &= O(\|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}^2 \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty}) + c \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u D^s u \phi, \\ \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u D^s u \phi &= c \int \partial_x u (D^s u)^2 \phi + c \int u (D^s u)^2 \phi' \\ &= O(\|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}^3), \end{aligned}$$

where we used Sobolev embedding.

Similarly, one can prove that C is also $O(\|J^s\|_{L_x^2}^2 (\|J^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}))$. Then, (4.6) is obtained by integrating in time.

Now, let's prove full local smoothing estimate (4.5). For this proof we use a cancellation as used in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Define

$$\begin{aligned} E_\phi(t) &= \int (D^s u)^2 \phi + a_s \int u (D^{s-2} \partial_x u)^2 \phi \\ &= E_1(t) + E_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2) and integrations by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E_1 &= c \int (D^{s-2}\partial_x^4 u)^2 \phi' + c \int (D^{s-2}\partial_x^3)^2 \phi^{(3)} + c \int (D^s u)^2 \phi^{(5)} + \\ &\quad c \int D^s(u\partial_x^3 u) D^s u \phi + c \int D^s(\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u) D^s u \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Here, each constant c has a different value. From (4.6) the second and third term are $O\left((1 + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} + \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}) \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2\right)$ after integration in time. When we estimate the last two terms, we use Lemma 2.3. Since the proof of the two are similar we give a proof of the fourth term.

$$\begin{aligned} \int D^s(u\partial_x^3 u) D^s u \phi &= \\ \int \left[D^s(u\partial_x^3 u) - u D^{s-2} \partial_x^5 u - s \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^4 u - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u \right] D^s u \phi \\ &\quad + \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x^5 u D^s u \phi + s \int \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x^4 u D^s u \phi + \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \int \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u D^s u \phi. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is done by Lemma 2.3. Several integrations by parts show that the rest are a linear combination of

$$\begin{aligned} \int \partial_x u (D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u)^2 \phi, \quad \int u (D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u)^2 \phi', \quad \int \partial_x^3 u (D^s u)^2 \phi \\ \int \partial_x^2 u (D^s u)^2 \phi', \quad \int \partial_x u (D^s u)^2 \phi'', \quad \int u (D^s u)^2 \phi'''. \end{aligned}$$

Five terms *except the first term* are $O\left((1 + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} + \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}) \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2\right)$ after integration in time. (for the second one, we used (4.6).) So, we need E_2 to cancel out the first term $\int \partial_x u (D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u)^2 \phi$. Since the method used here is very similar to the proof of Proposition 3.1, a sketch is enough.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}E_2(t) &= 2 \int u D^{s-2} \partial_{tx} D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi + \int \partial_t u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi \\ &\quad - 2 \int u D^{s-2} \left(\partial_x^6 u + c_1 \partial_x (u \partial_x^3 u) + c_2 \partial_x (\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u) \right) D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi + \\ &\quad - \int \partial_x^5 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi - c_2 \int u \partial_x^3 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi - c_1 \int \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi. \end{aligned}$$

This consists of terms of degree 3 with respect to u (the number of u 's or its derivatives are 3) and terms of degree 4.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Terms of degree 3} &= \int -2u D^{s-2} \partial_x^6 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi - \int \partial_x^5 u D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi \\ &= \sum_{a+2b+c=7, b \geq 1} c^{a,b,c} \int \partial_x^a u (D^{s-2} \partial_x^b u)^2 \partial_x^c \phi. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation one see $c^{5,1,0} = 0$. Amongst those terms, $\int \partial_x u (D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 u)^2 \phi$ is used to cancel out the same term from $E_1(t)$ by choosing an appropriate coefficient a_s and one can check the rest are all bounded by $O\left((1 + \|J^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty}^2 + \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}) \sup_{[0,T]} \|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}^2\right)$ after integrating in time. Finally, terms of degree 4 are the following:

$$\int (c_1 \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u + c_2 u \partial_x^3 u) D^{s-2} \partial_x u D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi + \int u D^{s-2} \partial_x (c_2 u \partial_x^3 u + c_1 \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u) D^{s-2} \partial_x u \phi,$$

which are bounded by $O(\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty} \|J^s u\|_{L_x^2}^3)$ by integrations by parts and Lemma 2.3. Therefore, (4.5) is obtained by integrating $\frac{d}{dt} E_\phi$ in time. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $s > 5/2$ and set $\epsilon = s - 5/2$. In the subcritical case with the negative critical regularity, without loss of generality, we may assume the initial data is small. i.e.

$$\|u_0\|_{H^s} < \delta_0$$

for $\delta_0 > 0$ small enough (to be decided later). More precisely, by the scaling invariance if $u(t, x)$ is a solution to (1.2) with initial data u_0 , then $u_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{-2} u(\frac{t}{\lambda^5}, \frac{x}{\lambda})$ is a solution with initial data $u_{0,\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{-2} u_0(\frac{x}{\lambda})$. Then, $\|\lambda^{-2} u_0(\frac{x}{\lambda})\|_{L^2} = \lambda^{-3/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\lambda^{-2} u_0(\frac{x}{\lambda})\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \lambda^{-3/2-s} \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s}$. So, we can downsize the initial data by choosing λ sufficiently large. Thus, if we show that for any u_0 with $\|u_0\|_{H^s} < \delta_0$, there is a unique solution $u(t, x) \in C([0, 1], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$, then for arbitrary initial data u_0 , there exists a solution for $T \gtrsim \|u_0\|_{H^s}^{-\frac{10}{3}}$.

From now we set

$$\|u_0\|_{H^s} = \delta, \quad T = 1$$

From the previous local well-posedness result (e.g. [19]), for a smooth initial data we have a unique smooth solution. In view of approximating solutions, the key step is to find an *a priori* bound for $\|u\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s}$.

5.1. A priori bound. Our goal is to prove

”There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ so that if $\|u_0\|_{H^s} < \delta < \delta_0$, then $\|u\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} < 6\delta$.”

To prove this by continuity argument it suffices to show

”There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ so that if $\|u_0\|_{H^s} < \delta < \delta_0$ and $\|u\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} < 10\delta$, then $\|u\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} < 6\delta$.”

Without loss of generality, we may assume $10\delta_0 < \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2a_s C})$, where defined in (3.3).

In observance of the energy estimate (3.3), one need to control $\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty}$. Note that

$$\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} \leq \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 (with $\alpha = 1, F = c_1 \partial_x u \partial_x^2 u + c_2 u \partial_x^3 u$)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} &\lesssim \|D^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}(\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \|D^{s-1}(u \partial_x^3 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|u \partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^{s-1}(u\partial_x^3 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} &\lesssim \|uD^{s-1}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \\
\|D^{s-1}(\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} &= \|D^{s-1}\left(\frac{1}{6}\partial_x^3(u^2) - \frac{1}{3}u\partial_x^3 u\right)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \|D^{s-1}\partial_x^3(u^2)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}(u\partial_x^3 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \|uD^{s-1}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|uD^{s-1}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|uD^{s-1}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2}^2 &= \sum_j \|uD^{s-1}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^2([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \\
&\leq \left(\sum_j \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right) \left(\sup_j \|D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L^2([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right) \\
&=: A \cdot B.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.5

$$B \lesssim (1 + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2) \|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2}^2. \tag{5.2}$$

Hence,

$$\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \delta + \delta \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \delta(1 + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty}) \left(\sum_j \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right). \tag{5.3}$$

Using the Duhamel formula and Lemma 4.4

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_j \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \delta + \|\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} + \|u \partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} + \|D^{5/4+\eta}(\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u)\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} + \|D^{5/4+\eta}(u \partial_x^3 u)\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} \\
&\lesssim \delta + \|\partial_x u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} + \|D^{5/4+\eta}(\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{5/4+\eta}(u \partial_x^3 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $5/4 + \eta < s - 1 = 3/2 + \epsilon$ (by choosing $\eta < 1/4$), the repeating previous computation we have

$$\|D^{5/4+\eta}(\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \|uD^{5/4+\eta}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|uD^{5/4+\eta}\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{5/4+\eta}u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty},$$

$$\|D^{5/4+\eta}(u\partial_x^3 u)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \lesssim \delta \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \delta(1 + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty}) \left(\sum_j \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\left(\sum_j \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \delta + \delta \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \delta(1 + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty}) \left(\sum_j \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{5.4}$$

Setting

$$f(T) := \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L^\infty} + \left(\sum_j \|u\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

by (5.3) and (5.4) we have

$$f(T) \lesssim \delta + \delta f(T) + \delta f(T)(1 + f(T)). \quad (5.5)$$

Using Sobolev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= \|\partial_x^3 u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \left(\sum_j \|u_0\|_{L^\infty([j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^s} = \delta \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have $f(T) \leq C\delta$.

From (3.3)

$$\|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \leq 3\|u_0\|_{H^s} e^{Cf(T)} \leq 3\delta e^{C'\delta}.$$

Therefore, there is δ_0 so that whenever $\delta < \delta_0$,

$$\|J^s u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \leq 6\delta.$$

5.2. Strong convergence. We basically follow the ϵ -approximate method of Bona-Smith [3] (see also, [20],[8])

We denote by $u^\epsilon(t)$ the solution of the initial value problem (1.2) with initial data $u_0^\epsilon = \rho_\epsilon * u_0$ where ρ_ϵ is a mollifier as defined in Section 2. From the *a priori* estimate we have

$$\|J^s u^\epsilon\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|\partial_x^3 u^\epsilon\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \left(\sum_j \|u^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C(T, \|u_0\|_{H^s}). \quad (5.6)$$

In order to establish the existence of a strong solution $u(t)$ as a limit in $L_T^\infty H_x^s$ one need the following:

Proposition 5.1. *For any $T > 0$, $\{u^\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ converges in the $L_T^\infty H_x^s$ norm as ϵ tends to zero.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may still assume $T = 1$ and $\|u_0\|_{H^s} = \delta < \min(\delta_0, \delta_1)$, where δ_0 is found in the previous subsection and δ_1 will be decided so as to satisfy smallness conditions on the upcoming analysis. Let $\epsilon > \epsilon' > 0$. Denote $v = u^\epsilon, v' = u^{\epsilon'} (v_0 = u_0^\epsilon, v'_0 = u_0^{\epsilon'})$ for simplicity. Define $w = v - v'$. Then w satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t w + \partial_x^5 w + c_1(\partial_x v \partial_x^2 w + \partial_x w \partial_x^2 v') + c_2(v \partial_x^3 w + w \partial_x^3 v') = 0 \quad (5.7)$$

and $\|w(0)\|_{H_x^s} \rightarrow 0$ as ϵ tends to 0. We use the standard $o(\epsilon^k)$ notation to quantities, for which $\frac{o(\epsilon^k)}{\epsilon^k} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then, we have $\|w(0)\|_{H_x^s} = o(1)$ and want to show $\|w\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} = o(1)$. From now for simplicity we pretend that $\partial_x v \partial_x^2 w$ and $\partial_x w \partial_x^2 v'$ are absent (i.e. $c_1 = 0$). Actually, they can be handled by the same estimate used for $w \partial_x^3 v'$ and $v \partial_x^3 w$. We will explain how to handle those terms at the end of the proof.

First of all, we show the persistence property of the L^2 -norm of w :

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}} \|w_0\|_{L^2}.$$

Integrating (5.7) against w

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \leq \|\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_x^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + c \int \partial_x v \partial_x w \partial_x w.$$

Here, in order to cancel out the last term we use the modified energy idea again. But in this case since $\partial_x^{-1} w$ may not well-defined, we use the inhomogeneous negative derivative J^{-1} , which is a bounded operator in L^2 . Define

$$E_w^0(t) := \|w(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + a \int v J^{-1} w J^{-1} w.$$

Note that $E_w^0 \sim \|w\|_{L_x^2}^2$ as long as $\|v\|_{L_x^\infty}$ is sufficiently small (i.e. $\|u_0\|_{H^s} < \delta_1$). From (5.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int \partial_x v J^{-1} w J^{-1} w &= c \int \partial_x v J^{-1} \partial_x^2 w J^{-1} \partial_x^2 w + c \int \partial_x^3 v J^{-1} \partial_x w J^{-1} \partial_x w \\ &\quad + c \int v J^{-1} (v \partial_x^3 w + w \partial_x^3 v') J^{-1} w. \end{aligned}$$

Unlike the previous case, our modification of the energy doesn't exactly cancel out the harmful term $\int \partial_x v \partial_x w \partial_x w$. But the difference is harmless if the coefficients of the first two terms match.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \partial_x v \partial_x w \partial_x w - \partial_x v J^{-1} \partial_x^2 w J^{-1} \partial_x^2 w \right| &\leq \|\partial_x v\|_{L^\infty} \left(\|\partial_x w\|_{L_x^2}^2 - \|J^{-1} \partial_x^2 w\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) \\ &= c \|\partial_x v\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int \xi^2 \widehat{w}(\xi)^2 d\xi - \int \frac{\xi^4}{1 + \xi^2} \widehat{w}(\xi)^2 d\xi \right) \\ &= c \|\partial_x v\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int \frac{\xi^2}{1 + \xi^2} \widehat{w}(\xi)^2 d\xi \right) \\ &\leq c \|\partial_x v\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int \widehat{w}(\xi)^2 d\xi \right) \\ &= c \|\partial_x v\|_{L^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a so that the coefficients of the above two terms are opposite. For the rest terms, we use $\|J^{-1} \partial_x\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int v J^{-1} (\partial_x^3 v' w) J^{-1} w &\leq \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|J^{-1} (\partial_x^3 v' w)\|_{L_x^2} \|J^{-1} w\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|J^{-1} (\partial_x^3 v' w)\|_{L_x^2} \|J^{-1} w\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^3 v' w\|_{L_x^2} \|w\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_x^\infty} \|w\|_{L_x^2} \|w\|_{L_x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.4) and Sobolev embedding

$$\begin{aligned}
\int v J^{-1}(v \partial_x^3 w) J^{-1} w &= \int v J^{-1} [\partial_x^3(vw) - 3\partial_x^2(\partial_x vw) + 3\partial_x(\partial_x^2 vw) - \partial_x^3 vw] J^{-1} w \\
&\lesssim \|J^3 v\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \int v J^{-1} \partial_x^2(vw) J^{-1} \partial_x w, \\
\int v J^{-1} \partial_x^2(vw) J^{-1} \partial_x w &= \int v [J^{-1} \partial_x^2; v] w J^{-1} \partial_x w + \int v^2 J^{-1} \partial_x^2 w J^{-1} \partial_x w \\
&\lesssim \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|\partial_x v\|_{L^\infty} \|J^{-1} \partial_x w\|_{L_x^2}^2 - \int v \partial_x v J^{-1} \partial_x w J^{-1} \partial_x w \\
&\lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}} \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|w\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting them all together we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|w_0\|_{L_x^2} \exp(C\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}) \quad (5.8)$$

as long as $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ is small.

From (2.2) and (5.8) we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_x^2} = o(\epsilon^s). \quad (5.9)$$

Next, we turn to $\|w(t)\|_{H_x^s}$. To use the modified energy method we define

$$E_w(t) := \|D^s w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2} + a_s \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x w D^{s-2} \partial_x w.$$

Note that for small $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ (i.e. $< \delta_1$), we have

$$\int v D^{s-2} \partial_x w D^{s-2} \partial_x w \leq \|v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D^{s-2} w\|_{L^2} \leq c \|J^s w(t)\|_{L_x^2},$$

from which we deduce $\|J^s w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \sim E_w(t)$. Our goal is to prove

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_w(t) \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}) E_w(t), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Then since $E_w(0) = o(1)$ and $E_w(t) \sim \|w(t)\|_{H_x^s}$, we conclude $\|w(t)\|_{H_x^s} = o(1)$ as desired.

From (5.7)

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|D^s w\|_{L^2}^2 + c_2 \int D^s(v \partial_x^3 w) D^s w + c_2 \int D^s(w \partial_x^3 v') D^s w = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

In order to estimate $\int D^s(v \partial_x^3 w) D^s w$, we use the commutator estimate and cancellation with $\frac{d}{dt} \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x w D^{s-2} \partial_x w$. After some integrations by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int D^s(v \partial_x^3 w) D^s w &= \int \left[D^s(v \partial_x^3 w) - v D^s \partial_x^3 w - s \partial_x v D^s \partial_x^2 w - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \partial_x^2 v D^s \partial_x w \right] D^s w \\
&\quad + c \int \partial_x v (D^s \partial_x w)^2 + c \int \partial_x^3 v (D^s w)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here each constant c has a different value.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x w D^{s-2} \partial_x w &= \int v_t D^{s-2} \partial_x w D^{s-2} \partial_x w + 2 \int v D^{s-2} \partial_{xt} w D^{s-2} \partial_x w \\ &= c \int \partial_x v (D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 w)^2 + c \int \partial_x^3 v (D^s w)^2 + c \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x (v \partial_x^3 w) D^{s-2} \partial_x w \\ &\quad + c \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x (w \partial_x^3 v) D^{s-2} \partial_x w. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a_s so that $\int \partial_x v (D^{s-2} \partial_x^3 w)^2$ is canceled out in $\frac{d}{dt} E_w(t)$. By Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} \int \left[D^s (v \partial_x^3 w) - v D^s \partial_x^3 w - s \partial_x v D^s \partial_x^2 w - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \partial_x^2 v D^s \partial_x w \right] D^s w \\ \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L_x^\infty} \|w\|_{H_x^s}^2 + \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_x^\infty} \|v\|_{H_x^s} \|w\|_{H_x^s}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last two terms one can use integrations by parts and the commutator estimate to show

$$\left| \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x (v \partial_x^3 w) D^{s-2} \partial_x w \right| + \left| \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x (w \partial_x^3 v) D^{s-2} \partial_x w \right| \lesssim O(\|u_0\|_{H^s}) \|\partial_x^3 v\|_{L_x^\infty}^2 \|w\|_{H_x^s}.$$

Hence, using (5.6)

$$-c_2 \int D^s (v \partial_x^3 w) D^s w + \frac{d}{dt} \int v D^{s-2} \partial_x w D^{s-2} \partial_x w \lesssim_s O(\|u_0\|_{H^s}) \left\{ \|w(t)\|_{H_x^s}^2 + \|\partial_x^3 w(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{H_x^s} \right\}. \quad (5.11)$$

To estimate the second term in (5.10) we get some help from the global smoothing effect of the Strichartz estimate.

$$\begin{aligned} \int D^s (w \partial_x^3 v') D^s w &\lesssim \|D^s (w \partial_x^3 v')\|_{L^2} \|D^s w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\|D^s w \cdot \partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^2} + \|w \cdot D^s \partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^2} + \|D^s w\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^\infty} \right) \|D^s w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\|D^s w\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^\infty} + \|w\|_{L^2} \|D^s \partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^\infty} + \|D^s w\|_{L^2} \|\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^\infty} \right) \|D^s w\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|J^s w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|J^s w\|_{L^2} o(\epsilon^s) \|J^{s+3} v'\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

At the last step we used (5.9).

Integrating in time we now claim

$$o(\epsilon^s) \|D^{s+3} v'\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} = o(1) \quad (5.13)$$

Lemma 4.2 (or its proof) (choosing $\alpha = 1$) and Lemma 4.5 lead that for small $\vartheta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|D^{s+3}v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \|D^{s+5/2+\vartheta}u_0\|_{L^2} + \|D^{s+3/2+\vartheta}(v'\partial_x^3 v')\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\
& \lesssim \epsilon^{-5/2-\vartheta} + \|D^{s+3/2+\vartheta}v'\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \\
& \left(\sum_j \|v'\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_j \|D^{s+3/2+\vartheta}\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^2([0,T] \times [j,j+1])} \right) \\
& \lesssim \epsilon^{-5/2-\vartheta} + \left(\sum_j \|v'\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|D^{s+5/2+\vartheta}v'\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \\
& \lesssim \epsilon^{-5/2-\vartheta} + \epsilon^{-5/2-\vartheta} \left(\sum_j \|v'\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
& \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}} \epsilon^{-5/2-\vartheta}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.1) and (5.6). Hence, for $s > 5/2$, (5.13) follows.

From (5.11) and (5.13)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_w(t) \lesssim \|J^s w\|_{L_x^2}^2 + (o(1) + O(\|u_0\|_{H^s})\|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_x^\infty})\|J^s w\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim_s \left(\|J^s w_0\|_{H_x^s} + o(1) + O(\|u_0\|_{H^s})\|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} \right) \exp(C\|u_0\|_{H^s}). \quad (5.14)$$

It remains to estimate $\|\partial_x^3 w(t)\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty}$.

For this, we argue as the proof of the *a priori* bound. Set

$$g_T = \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \left(\sum_j \|w\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Repeating the proof of Lemma 4.5 and using (5.6) and (5.7), we get the local smoothing estimate for w :

$$\left(\int_0^T \int_j^{j+1} |D^{s-2}\partial_x^4 w|^2 dx dt \right) \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{H^s}} (1 + \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty}) \sup_{[0,T]} \|J^s w\|_{L_x^2}^2. \quad (5.15)$$

Using Lemma 4.2 and (5.7) for small $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}(v\partial_x^3 w)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}(w\partial_x^3 v')\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\
& + \|v\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|w\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\
& \lesssim \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + O(\|u_0\|_{H^s}) \cdot \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \|D^{s-1}v\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \\
& + \|v \cdot D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} + \|w \cdot D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\
& \lesssim \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|v \cdot D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|w \cdot D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5, (5.6) and (5.15) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|v \cdot D^{s-1} \partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} &\lesssim \left(\sum_j \|v\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_j \|D^{s-1} \partial_x^3 w\|_{L^2([0,T] \times [j,j+1])} \right) \\ &\leq O(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}) g_T, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|w \cdot D^{s-1} \partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} &\lesssim \left(\sum_j \|w\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_j \|D^{s-1} \partial_x^3 v'\|_{L^2([0,T] \times [j,j+1])} \right) \\ &\leq O(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}) g_T. \end{aligned}$$

Using the maximal function estimate (Lemma 4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_j \|w\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} &\lesssim \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + \|D^{5/4+\eta}(v \partial_x^3 w)\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|D^{5/4+\eta}(w \partial_x^3 v')\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\ &\quad + \|v \partial_x^3 w\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} + \|w \partial_x^3 v'\|_{L_T^2 L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + O(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}) g_T. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$g_T \lesssim_s \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} + O(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}) g_T.$$

By choosing $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ sufficiently small, we have

$$g_T \lesssim (1 + O(\|u_0\|_{H^s})) \|w\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s}.$$

Plugging this into (5.14), we obtain

$$\|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim_s \left(\|J^s w_0\|_{H_x^s} + o(1) + O(\|u_0\|_{H^s}) \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \right) \exp(C\|u_0\|_{H^s}). \quad (5.16)$$

Again choosing $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ sufficiently small and using $\|J^s w_0\|_{H_x^s} = o(1)$, we conclude

$$\|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} = o(1).$$

We finish the proof by explaining how to handle the intermediate term $\partial_x u \partial_x^2 u$. In view of the equation (5.7) of the difference w , we need to handle $D^{s-1}(\partial_x v \partial_x^2 w)$ and $D^{s-1}(\partial_x w \partial_x^2 v')$. From the above computation for $u \partial_x^3 u$ and the identity

$$\partial_x^3(uw) = \partial_x^3 uw + 3\partial_x^2 u \partial_x w + 3\partial_x u \partial_x^2 w + u \partial_x^3 w,$$

it suffices to estimate $D^{s-1}\partial_x^3(vw)$, $D^{s-1}(\partial_x^2 w \partial_x v)$ and $D^{s-1}(\partial_x^2 w \partial_x v')$. By (2.3), (2.4) and Sobolev embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{s-1}\partial_x^3(vw)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|v \cdot D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 w\|_{L_x^2} + \|D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 v \cdot w\|_{L_x^2} + \|D^{s-\eta} v\|_{L_x^2} \|D^{2+\eta} w\|_{L^{r'}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_j \|v\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_j \|D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 w\|_{L^2([0,T] \times [j,j+1])} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_j \|w\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times [j,j+1])}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_j \|D^{s-1}\partial_x^3 v\|_{L^2([0,T] \times [j,j+1])} \right) \\ &\quad + \|J^s v\|_{L_x^2} \|J^s w\|_{L_x^2}, \\ \|D^{s-1}(\partial_x^2 w \partial_x v)\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L^\infty} \|D^{s-1} u\|_{L_x^2} + \|\partial_x^2 w\|_{L_x^\infty} \|D^s u\|_{L_x^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_x^3 w\|_{L^\infty} \|D^{s-1} u\|_{L_x^2} + \|J^s w\|_{L_x^2} \|D^s u\|_{L_x^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'}$, $\eta = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}$ and $s - 2 - \eta \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r'}$.

We have already handled these terms in the previous analysis. $\square$

5.3. Continuous dependence. The proof for the continuous dependence is very similar to that of Proposition 5.1. We will prove that for given $\lambda > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so that if $\|u_0 - v_0\|_{H^s} < \delta$, then

$$\|u - v\|_{L_T^\infty L H_x^s} < \lambda$$

where u and v are the solutions with initial data u_0 and v_0 , respectively.

From Proposition 5.1 it follows that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that for $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon - u\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} &< \lambda/3, \\ \|v_\epsilon - v\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} &< \lambda/3. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove there is $\delta > 0$ so that if $\|u_0 - v_0\| < \delta$, then for some $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$,

$$\|u_\epsilon - v_\epsilon\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^s} < \lambda/3.$$

Define $w = v_\epsilon - u_\epsilon$. Note $\|w_0\|_{H^s} = \|(u_0 - v_0)_\epsilon\|_{H^s} \sim \|u_0 - v_0\|_{H_x^s} = \delta$ for small ϵ . The proof follows basically that of Proposition 5.1. The equation for the difference is

$$\partial_t w + \partial_x^5 w + v_\epsilon \partial_x^3 w + w \partial_x^3 u_\epsilon = 0.$$

As before we consider the time derivative of the modified energy

$$E_w(t) = \|D^w(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + a_s \int v_\epsilon D^{s-2} \partial_x w D^{s-2} \partial_x w$$

with $E_w(0) = O(\delta)$. Following the previous argument one can easily check everything works *except* one step, where we used (2.2) and (5.9) in estimating (5.12)

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|w_0\|_{L^2} \not\leq o(\epsilon^s),$$

since w_0 is no longer of form $f - f_\epsilon$. But since $\|w_0\|_{L^2} \sim \|u_0 - v_0\|_{L^2}$, after fixing $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ so that

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|D^s \partial_x^3 v_\epsilon\|_{L_T^2 L_x^\infty} \lesssim \delta \epsilon^{-s} \leq \lambda/10.$$

This completes the proof of the local well-posedness for $s > \frac{5}{2}$.

6. THE LACK OF UNIFORM CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION MAP

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. As mentioned before, the method used here is introduced by Koch and Tzvetkov [13].

6.1. Preliminaries. We provide several preliminary results and definitions used later analysis. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a bump function such that $\phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < 1$ and $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| > 2$. Let $\phi^w \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a wider bump function such that $\phi^w(x) = 1$ on the support of ϕ . Note $\phi\phi^w = \phi$. For $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\lambda \geq 1$, we set

$$\phi_\lambda(x) := \phi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{4+\delta}}\right), \quad \phi_\lambda^w(x) := \phi^w\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^{4+\delta}}\right).$$

In our example we exploit low frequency perturbation in high frequency wave. So, our example has a low frequency mass and a high frequency wave on the almost same support. We define the low frequency initial data

$$u_{low}(0, x) = -\Lambda\omega\lambda^{-3}\phi^w\lambda(x), \quad \omega = \pm 1.$$

Let $u_{low}(t, x)$ be the solution to (1.2) with initial data $u_{low}(0, x)$.

Next, define the approximate solution

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ap}(t, x) &:= u_{low}(t, x) - \Lambda\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s}\phi_\lambda(x) \cos(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - \omega t) \\ &=: u_{low}(t, x) + u_{hi}(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Note $\|u_0\|_{H^s} = O(\Lambda)$ uniformly in λ , we can choose $\Lambda > 0$ sufficiently small so as to satisfy all smallness conditions on $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ required in the analysis of the local well-posedness for $s > \frac{5}{2}$. By doing so, for $\frac{5}{2} < \sigma < s$ we guarantee the solution $u(t, x)$ exists for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^\sigma} + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^2 L^\infty} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \lambda^{\sigma-s}. \quad (6.1)$$

By direct computations we also have

$$\|u_{ap}\|_{L_T^\infty H_x^\sigma} + \|\partial_x^3 u_{ap}\|_{L_T^2 L^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{2-\delta}{2}} + \lambda^{\sigma-s} + \lambda^{\frac{2-\delta}{2}-s}. \quad (6.2)$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $s \geq 0, 0 < \delta$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \|\phi_\lambda(x) \sin(\lambda x + \alpha)\|_{H_x^s} = c \|\phi\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Proof. See [13] Lemma 2.3. □

Theorem 1.2 is a corollary of the following proposition, showing that the approximate solution constructed above is a good approximate solution in the H^s sense.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\max(0, 2-2s) < \delta < 2$. Let $u_{\omega,\lambda}$ be the unique solution to the equation (1.2) with initial data*

$$u_{\omega,\lambda}(0, x) = -\omega\lambda^{-3}\phi_\lambda^w(x) - \lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s}\phi_\lambda(x) \cos \lambda x$$

and $u_{ap}(t, x)$ be as defined above.

Then for $s > \frac{5}{2}$

$$\|u_{\omega,\lambda} - u_{ap}\|_{H_x^s} = o(1) \quad (6.3)$$

holds true for $|t| < 1$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, if the equation (1.2) satisfies that for all t ,

- the L^2 conservation law in the sense that $\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2} = \|u(0)\|_{L^2}$
- an H^3 conservation law in the sense that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H_x^3} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{H_x^3} \quad \text{for small } \|u\|_{H_x^s},$$

then (6.3) holds true for $s > 0$.

(Proposition 6.2 implies Theorem 1.2). By choosing $\omega = \pm 1$ we obtain two sequences of initial data

$$u_\lambda^\pm(0, x) = \mp \lambda^{-3} \phi_\lambda^w(x) - \lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \phi_\lambda(x) \cos(\lambda x).$$

Using Proposition 6.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\lambda^+(t) - u_\lambda^-(t)\|_{H^s} &= \lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \|\phi_\lambda(x) [\cos(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t + t) - \cos(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - t)]\|_{H_x^s} + o(1) \\ &= 2\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \|\phi_\lambda(x) [\sin(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t)]\|_{H_x^s} |\sin t| + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

where $|t| < 1$.

From Lemma 6.1

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\lambda^+(t) - u_\lambda^-(t)\|_{H^s} \geq c |\sin t|.$$

Since $\sin t \sim t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we complete the proof. $\square$

In the following lemma, we provide several bounds for $u_{low}(t, x)$

Lemma 6.3. *Let K be a given positive integer. Let $K - 2 - s \geq k \geq 0$. Then the following estimates hold :*

$$\|\partial_x^k u_{low}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim_K \lambda^{-\frac{2-\delta}{2}-k(4+\delta)} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\|\partial_x^k u_{low}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim_K \lambda^{-3-k(4+\delta)} \quad (6.5)$$

$$\|u_{low}(t, \cdot) - u_{low}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim_K \lambda^{-15-3\delta} \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. We set a rescaled function

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &:= \lambda^{2(4+\delta)} u_{low}(\lambda^{5(4+\delta)} t, \lambda^{4+\delta} x), \\ v(0, x) &= -\omega \lambda^{-3+2(4+\delta)} \phi^w(x) \end{aligned}$$

Then v is a solution to (1.2) with the initial data $v(0, x)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(0, \cdot)\|_{H^s} &= \lambda^{5+2\delta} \|\phi^w\|_{H^s} \leq \lambda^{5+2\delta} \|\phi^w\|_{H^K} \\ &\lesssim_K \lambda^{5+2\delta} \end{aligned}$$

and then by Theorem 1.1

$$\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{H^s} \lesssim_K \lambda^{5+2\delta},$$

for $|t| \leq \min(1, c\lambda^{-\frac{10}{3}(5+2\delta)})$ and $s > 5/2$. Since the right hand side of (6.1) doesn't depend on s , it is true for any real $K > s > 5/2$. By Sobolev embedding we have

$$\|\partial_x^k v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{5+2\delta}$$

for $|t| \leq \min(1, c\lambda^{-\frac{10}{3}(5+2\delta)})$ and $k + s < K - 2$.

From (6.1) we can deduce (6.4), (6.5) by rescaling back

$$\partial_x^k v(t, x) = \lambda^{(2+k)(4+\delta)} \partial_x^k u_{low}(\lambda^{5(4+\delta)} t, \lambda^{4+\delta} x)$$

$$\|\partial_x^k u_{low}(\lambda^{5(4+\delta)} t, \lambda^{4+\delta} x)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{5+2\delta-(2+k)(4+\delta)}$$

as long as $|t| \leq \min(1, \lambda^{-\frac{10}{3}(5+2\delta)})$. Hence,

$$\|\partial_x^k u_{low}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{-3-k(4+\delta)}$$

for $|t| \leq \lambda^{20+5\delta-\frac{10}{3}-\frac{20}{3}\delta}$ (in particular, for $|t| \leq 1$).

Similarly, from

$$\|\partial_x^k u_{low}(\lambda^{5(4+\delta)} t, \lambda^{4+\delta} x)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda^{5+2\delta-(2+k)(4+\delta)}$$

we deduce

$$\|\partial_x^k u_{low}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-1+\frac{1}{2}\delta-k(4+\delta)}$$

as long as at least $|t| \leq 1$.

From (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u_{low}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|\partial_x^5 u_{low}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_x^3 u_{low}\|_{L^\infty} \|u_{low}\|_{L^2} + \\ &\quad \|\partial_x^2 u_{low}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{2-\delta}{2}-5(4+\delta)} + \lambda^{-15-3\delta-\frac{2-\delta}{2}} + \lambda^{-11-2\delta-\frac{2-\delta}{2}-(4+\delta)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-15-3\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in time we have

$$\|u_{low}(t, \cdot) - u_{low}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-15-3\delta}$$

for $|t| \leq 1$.

□

Note that in this proof $\lesssim$ means $\lesssim_K$. Later we use Lemma 6.3 for some bounded k . Once s is fixed we can choose, for instance, $K > s + 100$ and regard as $K = K(s)$.

6.2. The approximate solution. In the following lemma we show u_{ap} defined above solves (1.2) with a small error in the H^σ sense.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $s > 0$, $0 < \delta < 2$ and $|t| \leq 1$. Set*

$$F := (\partial_t + \partial_x^5)u_{ap} + c_1 u_{ap} \partial_x^3 u_{ap} + c_2 \partial_x u_{ap} \partial_x^2 u_{ap}$$

Then,

$$\|F(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\delta} + \lambda^{\frac{2-\delta}{2}-2s} \quad (6.7)$$

Furthermore, for $\sigma > 0$,

$$\|F(t, \cdot)\|_{H^\sigma} \lesssim \lambda^{-\delta-s+\sigma} + \lambda^{\frac{2-\delta}{2}-2s+\sigma} \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. We decompose F as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 + F_5 + F_6 \\
F_1 &= (\partial_t + \partial_x^5)u_{low} + c_1 \partial_x u_{low} \partial_x^2 u_{low} + c_2 u_{low} \partial_x^3 u_{low} \\
F_2 &= u_{hi} \partial_x u_{hi} + \partial_x u_{hi} \partial_x^2 u_{hi} \\
F_3 &= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} [\partial_x^5, \phi_\lambda] \cos(\lambda x + \beta) \\
F_4 &= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \phi_\lambda (\partial_t + \partial_x^5 + u_{low} \partial_x^3) \cos(\lambda x + \beta) \\
F_5 &= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \left(3\partial_x \phi_\lambda \partial_x^2 \cos(\lambda x + \beta) + 3\partial_x^2 \phi_\lambda \partial_x \cos \Phi + \cos(\lambda x + \beta) \partial_x^3 \phi_\lambda \right) \\
F_6 &= c_2 u_{hi} \partial_x^3 u_{low} + c_1 \partial_x u_{hi} \partial_x^2 u_{low} + c_1 \partial_x^2 u_{hi} \partial_x u_{low}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = -\lambda^5 t - \omega t$.

By definition of $\phi_\lambda(x)$ we have

$$\partial_x^k \phi_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{-k(4+\delta)} (\partial_x^k \phi)_\lambda(x).$$

$F_1 = 0$ since u_{low} is an exact solution to the equation. In the following estimates of F_2, F_3, F_5 and F_6 , the worst term occurs when the most derivatives act on $\cos(\lambda x + \beta)$. So, we have the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(x) &= \lambda^{-(4+\delta)-2s} \left\{ \phi_\lambda(x) \cos(\lambda x + \beta) \partial_x^3 (\phi_\lambda(x) \cos(\lambda x + \beta)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_x (\phi_\lambda(x) \cos(\lambda x + \beta)) \partial_x^2 (\phi_\lambda(x) \cos(\lambda x + \beta)) \right\}, \\
\|F_2\|_{L_x^2} &= O\left(\lambda^{-(4+\delta)-2s+3+\frac{4+\delta}{2}}\right) \\
&= O(\lambda^{1-\delta/2-2s}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_3(x) &= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} [\partial_x^5, \phi_\lambda] \cos(\lambda x + \beta) \\
&= \lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \partial_x \phi_\lambda(x) \partial_x^4 (\cos(\lambda x + \beta)) + \text{Better terms}, \\
\|F_3\|_{L_x^2} &= O\left(\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s-4-\delta+4+\frac{4+\delta}{2}}\right) \\
&= O(\lambda^{-\delta-s}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_5 &= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \left(3\partial_x \phi_\lambda \partial_x^2 \cos(\lambda x + \beta) + 3\partial_x^2 \phi_\lambda \partial_x \cos \Phi + \cos(\lambda x + \beta) \partial_x^3 \phi_\lambda \right) \\
&= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \left[\partial_x \phi_\lambda \partial_x^2 (\cos(\lambda x + \beta)) + \text{Better terms} \right], \\
\|F_5\|_{L_x^2} &= O\left(\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s-4-\delta+2+\frac{4+\delta}{2}}\right) \\
&= O(\lambda^{-2-\delta-s}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_6 &= c_2 u_{hi} \partial_x^3 u_{low} + c_1 \partial_x u_{hi} \partial_x^2 u_{low} + c_1 \partial_x^2 u_{hi} \partial_x u_{low} \\
&= \phi_\lambda \partial_x^2 (\cos(\lambda x + \beta)) \partial_x u_{low} + \text{Better terms}, \\
\|F_6\|_{L_x^2} &= O\left(\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s+2-3-4-\delta+\frac{4+\delta}{2}}\right) \\
&= O\left(\lambda^{-s-5-\delta}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to estimate F_4 . For this we use (6.6).

$$F_4 = -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \phi_\lambda (\partial_t + \partial_x^5 + u_{low} \partial_x^3) \cos(\lambda x + \beta).$$

A direct computation yield

$$\begin{aligned}
[\partial_t + \partial_x^5] \cos(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - \omega t) &= \sin(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - \omega t) \cdot \omega \\
\partial_x^3 \cos(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - \omega t) &= \lambda^3 \sin(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - \omega t).
\end{aligned}$$

We use the facts that $\phi_\lambda \phi_\lambda^w = \phi_\lambda$ and $u_{low}(0, x) = -\lambda^3 \omega \phi_\lambda^w(x)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
F_4(x) &= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \phi_\lambda(x) [u_{low}(t, x) \lambda^3 - \omega] \sin(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - \omega t) \\
&= -\lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s} \phi_\lambda(x) [u_{low}(t, x) \lambda^3 - u_{low}(0, x) \lambda^3] \sin(\lambda x - \lambda^5 t - \omega t) \\
\|F_4\|_{L_x^2} &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{4+\delta}{2}-s+3} \lambda^{-15-3\delta} \lambda^{\frac{4+\delta}{2}} \\
&= O\left(\lambda^{-12-s-3\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (6.6).

Next, we analyze $\|D^\sigma F\|_{L_x^2}$. For any Schwartz function f , we have

$$D^\sigma f(\lambda^p x) = \lambda^{p\sigma} (D^\sigma f)(\lambda^p x).$$

Each F_i for $i = 1, \dots, 6$ is a product of a low frequency function and a high frequency function.

Let $f_\lambda(x) = f(\frac{x}{\lambda^a})$ and $g_\lambda(x) = g(\lambda^b x)$ for some $a, b > 0$. Then, in general by (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^\sigma(f_\lambda g_\lambda)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \|f_\lambda \cdot D^\sigma g_\lambda\|_{L^2} + \|D^\sigma f_\lambda \cdot g_\lambda\|_{L^2} + \|D^\sigma f_\lambda\|_{L^2} \|g_\lambda\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \lambda^{\sigma b} \left(\|f_\lambda(D^\sigma g)_\lambda\|_{L^2} + \|(D^\sigma f)_\lambda \cdot g_\lambda\|_{L^2} + \|(D^\sigma f)_\lambda\|_{L^2} \|g_\lambda\|_{L^\infty} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by checking above computations we have

$$\|D^\sigma F_i\|_{L^2} \lesssim_\sigma \lambda^\sigma \|F_i\|_{L^2}$$

which implies (6.8). □

6.3. Proof of Proposition 6.2. We set the difference between the genuine solution and the approximate solution

$$w_{\omega, \lambda} := u_{\omega, \lambda} - u_{ap}$$

where $u_{\omega, \lambda}$ is the solution to (1.2).

Our goal is to prove

$$\|w_{\omega, \lambda}\|_{H^s} = o(1), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

First, we do the case $s > \frac{5}{2}$, where the local well-posedness theory is available. From now we denote $w := w_{\omega, \lambda}$ and $u := u_{\omega, \lambda}$ for simplicity.

From (1.2) we have an equation for w

$$\partial_t w + \partial_x^5 w + c_1(\partial_x w \partial_x^2 u_{ap} + \partial_x u \partial_x^2 w) + c_2(w \partial_x^3 u_{ap} + u \partial_x^3 w) + F = 0. \quad (6.9)$$

We find the L^2 persistence property first. Arguing as in (5.8) (using a correctional term), we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 \lesssim \left(\|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\partial_x^3 u_{ap}\|_{L_x^\infty} \right) \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|F(t)\|_{L_x^2} \|w\|_{L_x^2}.$$

Since $w(0) = 0$, from (6.1), (6.2) and (6.7)

$$\|w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \|F\|_{L_T^1 L_x^2} = O(\lambda^{-s-\beta}) \quad (6.10)$$

for $\beta = \min(\delta, -\frac{2-\delta}{2} + s) > 0$.

For the H^s persistence, we argue as in Section 5 and use the L^2 persistence. From (5.11), (5.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} &\lesssim \left\{ \|w_0\|_{H^s} + \|w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \|D^{s+3} u_{ap}\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\|F\|_{L_T^1 H_x^s} + \|\partial_x^3 u\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty} + \|\partial_x^3 u_{ap}\|_{L_T^1 L_x^\infty}) \|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \right\} \exp(C\|u_0\|_{H^s}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

A direct computation yields

$$\|D^{s+3} u_{ap}(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \lambda^{1-\delta/2} \leq \lambda^s. \quad (6.12)$$

Using $w(0) = 0$, (6.1), (6.2), (6.8), (6.10), (6.13), in (6.11) we conclude

$$\|J^s w\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim o(1)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, in the case $0 < s \leq \frac{5}{2}$ we use interpolation between regularity exponents. To get the L^2 persistence of w we use the L^2 conservation law. From (6.9) and $u = w + u_{ap}$ we obtain

$$\partial_t w + \partial_x^5 w + c_1(\partial_x w \partial_x^2 u_{ap} + \partial_x w \partial_x^2 w + \partial_x u_{ap} \partial_x^2 w) + c_2(w \partial_x^3 u_{ap} + w \partial_x^3 w + u_{ap} \partial_x^3 w) + F = 0.$$

Note that the L^2 conservation law yields $\int c_1 \partial_x w \partial_x^2 w w + c_2 w \partial_x^3 w w = 0$. Again, arguing as in (5.8) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\partial_x^3 u_{ap}(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \|w(t)\|_{L_x^2} + \|F(t)\|_{L_x^2}.$$

From (6.7) and (6.2)

$$\|w(t)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lambda^{-s-\beta}. \quad (6.13)$$

On the other hand, from the H^3 conservation law, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{H_x^3} \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{H^3} \lesssim \lambda^{3-s}.$$

By a direct computation we also have

$$\|u_{ap}(t)\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim \lambda^{3-s}.$$

Combining these estimates together we obtain

$$\|w(t)\|_{H_x^3} \lesssim \lambda^{3-s}. \quad (6.14)$$

An interpolation between (6.14) and (6.13) shows

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(t)\|_{H_x^s} &\lesssim \|w(t)\|_{H_x^3}^{\frac{s}{3}} \|w(t)\|_{L_x^2}^{\frac{3-s}{3}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{(3-s)s}{3}} \cdot \lambda^{\frac{3-s}{3}(-s-\beta)} \\ &= \lambda^{-\beta\frac{3-s}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.B. Benjamin, *Impulse, flow force and variational principles*, IMA J. Appl. Math. **32** (1984), no. 1-3, 3–68.
- [2] D.J. Benney, *A general theory for interactions between short and long waves*, IMA J. Appl. Math. **56** (1977), 81–94.
- [3] J.L. Bona, R.S. Smith, *The initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **278** (1978), 555–601.
- [4] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, *Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels. (French) [Beyond pseudodifferential operators] With an English summary. Astérisque*, **57** Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1978.
- [5] C.S. Garder, J.M. Greene, M.D. Kruskal, and R.M. Miura, *The Korteweg-de Vries equation and generations VI. Method for exact solutions*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **27** (1974), 97–133.
- [6] T. Kato, G. Ponce, *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), no. 7, 891–907.
- [7] C.E. Kenig, K.D. Koenig, *On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono and modified Benjamin-Ono equations*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. 5-6, 879–895.
- [8] C.E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 323–347.
- [9] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (1993), 527–620.
- [10] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *On the hierarchy of the generalized KdV equations*, Singular limits of dispersive waves (Lyon, 1991), 347–356, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 320, Plenum, New York, 1994.
- [11] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, *Higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), no. 1, 157–166.
- [12] H. Koch, N. Tzvetkov *On the local well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$* , Int. Math. Res. Not. **26** (2003), 1449–1464.
- [13] H. Koch, N. Tzvetkov, *Nonlinear wave interactions for the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **30** (2005), 1833–1847.
- [14] H. Koch, N. Tzvetkov, *On finite energy solutions of the KP-I equation*, preprint
- [15] P.D. Lax, *Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves*, Comm. Pure. App. Math. **21** (1968), 467–490.
- [16] L. Molinet, J. C. Saut, and N. Tzvetkov, *Ill-posedness issues for the Benjamin-Ono and related equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2001), 982–988.
- [17] L. Molinet, J. C. Saut, and N. Tzvetkov, *Well-posed and ill-posedness results for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili-I equation*, Duke Math. J. **115** (2002), no. 2, 353–384.
- [18] P.L. Olver, *Hamiltonian and non-Hamiltonian models for water waves*, in "Lecture notes in Physics" No.195, 273–290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [19] G. Ponce, *Lax pairs and higher order models for water waves*, J. Differential Equations **102** (1993), no. 2, 360–381.
- [20] G. Ponce, *On the global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation*, Differential Integral Equations **4** (1991), no. 3, 527–542.

SOONSIK KWON

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: `rhex2@math.ucla.edu`